

Ministry of Health Santé Canada

Dr. Jane Buxton: Principal Investigator Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act (GSDOA) evaluation & Harm Reduction Client Survey (HRCS), BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC)

Jessica Xavier: Research Associate GSDOA Evaluation, BCCDC

Dr. Alissa Greer: GSDOA evaluation qualitative research team, Simon Fraser University

On behalf or the GSDOA research team

Funding: GSDOA evaluation - BC Ministry of Health HRCS - Health Canada Substance Use and Addiction Program The funders had no input into data collection, analysis or interpretation of the studies

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- We respectfully acknowledge that this work was conducted across the unceded, ancestral and stolen territories of more than 200 First Nations across what we call British Columbia; and that BCCDC is situated on the stolen territories of the x^wməθk^wəýəm (Musqueam), skwxwú7mesh (Squamish), and se'lílwitulh (Tsleil-waututh) nations
- We acknowledge the thousands of devastating and preventable deaths that have occurred in British Columbia due to the toxic unregulated drug supply. We recognize the ongoing commitment of people with lived and living experience of substance use who have been and continue to be the lead advocates and actors working to reduce preventable deaths and harms for all people who use drugs
- We would like to thank PEEP (Professionals for Ethical Engagement of Peers) for their valuable insights and expertise to develop the study tools and interpret data, Foundry, BCCDC staff and students, harm reduction distribution and take home naloxone site staff, and the participants for sharing their knowledge and experiences

TODAY'S PRESENTATION

Today's objective:

To share findings from the *Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act (GSDOA)* evaluation to provide insights about potential opportunities and challenges with BC's broader decriminalization, and hear your thoughts and insights

Outline:

- Background (GSDOA evaluation)
- Compare the written exemptions for GSDOA and BC's decriminalization model
- Share key takeaways from the evaluation and implications for BC's decriminalization model
 - 1. Lack of awareness and understanding of GSDOA among people who use drugs and the police
 - 2. Limitations of the GSDOA legislation and it's design; GSDOA doesn't reflect the diverse realities of how drugs are used
 - 3. Police discretion shapes how GSDOA was implemented and contributes to inconsistent applications of the legislation, which can lead to distrust of the Act and the police
 - 4. Decriminalization won't end the drug poisoning crisis need to address structural and systemic factors
- Discussion
- Resources and references

BACKGROUND: THE GOOD SAMARITAN DRUG OVERDOSE ACT

BC Take Home Naloxone (THN) pilot program was launched

AUG 2012



APRIL 2016

Public Health Emergency was declared in B.C.



JUNE 2016

The BC Emergency Heath Services introduced a policy to not routinely inform police about all overdose calls





MAY 2017 The Good Samar

The Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act (GSDOA) was implemented

- Previous evidence suggests most overdoses occur in the presence of bystanders (Martins et al 2015; Karamouzian et al., 2019)
- By-standers delay/don't call 911 at overdose events for fear of police involvement (Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse, 2017)
- To overcome this concern:
 - ✓ June 2016, BC Emergency Health Services policy introduced; police not routinely informed in the event of an overdose (Mehta et al., 2021)
 - May 2017, Federal *Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act* (Government of Canada, 2019) enacted to provide some protection from arrest at the scene of an overdose



Despite Health Canada, Pivot Legal & BCCDC developing posters and wallet cards, there was a **lack of awareness about GSDOA**

THE GOOD SAMARITAN DRUG OVERDOSE ACT RECEIVED ROYAL ASSENT ON MAY 4TH, 2017

This enactment amends the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act to exempt persons seeking emergency medical or law enforcement assistance for themselves or for others at an overdose from being charged for **simple possession** or for **violation of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole related to simple possession**, if the evidence in support of that offence was obtained or discovered as a result of seeking assistance or remaining at the scene. This applies to any person at the scene upon the arrival of assistance, including the person who overdosed.



Please refer to Bill C-224 for details.

CCC BC Centre for Disease Control

IF YOU SUSPECT AN OVERDOSE, CALL 911 STAY WITH THE PERSON UNTIL HELP ARRIVES

THE LAW DOES PROVIDE PROTECTION FROM CHARGES FOR:	THE LAW DOES NOT PROVIDE PROTECTION FROM CHARGES FOR:
Simple possession (personal use)	Selling illegal drugs (trafficking)
	Offences other than drug possession
	Any outstanding arrest warrants
Violation of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole related to simple possession	Violation of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole for an offence other than simple possession

THE GOOD SAMARITAN DRUG OVERDOSE ACT IS NOW LAW

THE LAW SAYS:

If you are at the scene of an overdose and you or someone else calls 911 to get medical assistance, you are not to be charged with simple possession (possession for your own personal use) of an illegal substance.



You are also **not to be charged for breach of probation or parole** relating to simple drug possession.



After calling 911, give **breaths** and **naloxone** if you have it. **Stay with the person until help arrives**.



For more information, visit: http://www.pivotlegal.org/good_samaritan_drug_overdose_act_rights_card







Canada's new Good Samaritan law

uspect an

verdose?

can protect you.

Learn more at Canada.ca/Opioids Together we can #StopOverdoses

Government Gouvernement of Canada du Canada



BACKGROUND: MULTI-METHODS GSDOA EVALUATION



Objective:

To assess awareness, understanding, experiences and the implementation of the GSDOA (May 2017) among people at risk of experiencing or witnessing an overdose, including youth; and police officers

GSDOA EVALUATION 2019-2022 (2.5 & 3.5 YRS AFTER IMPLEMENTED)





COMPARING GSDOA AND BC'S DECRIMINALIZATION MODEL

	The GSDOA	BC Decriminalization
Jurisdiction and date of implementation	Federal legislationImplemented: May 2017 - is ongoing	 Provincial legislation (Federal exemption) Implemented: Jan 31st 2023 - Jan 2026
Objective	Reduce overdose deaths by reducing concerns around police and encouraging people to call 911	Reduce stigma around drug use and concerns around criminalization to encourage people to access healthcare and social services without fear of repercussion
When it applies	 For people who are experiencing or witnessing an overdose event (whether stay or leave the scene) Simple drug possession (for personal use); no threshold Violations of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole related to drugs related to simple possession All ages 	 Any where/time i.e. Not restricted to overdose events Simple drug possession (for personal use) cumulative total of drugs of up to 2.5 grams No mention of violations of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole related to drugs Adults 18+
When it doesn't apply	 Drug trafficking (no threshold); includes suspected intent to traffic, sharing, giving away, etc. Any outstanding arrest warrants Offence other than simple drug possession Violation of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole for an offence other than simple possession 	 Possession (for personal use) above 2.5 grams Drug trafficking; includes suspected intent to traffic, sharing, giving away, etc. In and surrounding elementary and secondary schools and child care facilities In airports

Ministry of Health

KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS I. LACK OF AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING

I. Lack of awareness and understanding of GSDOA among people who use drugs and the police

The GSDOA is only as effective as people's awareness and understanding of it. We found considerable gaps in awareness and understanding of the legislation among people it was intended to benefit and police officers.



KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS: I. LACK OF AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING (HRCS 2019)



KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS: I. LACK OF AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING

Many people who use drugs overestimated the legal protections provided:

'So the Good Samaritan Drug Act is you don't have to worry about when you phone and there's an overdose. They won't ever check you for your drugs. They'll never charge you for anything if you're trying to save a life and— yeah. So you won't be searched. You won't be charged. You won't be taken away.'

Police officers' knowledge of GSDOA varied; of those aware of GSDOA, many couldn't clearly articulate its tenets or when/for whom it applies; some **underestimated its legal protections**:

- 'I know actually very little about this Good Samaritan thing. Like, I actually was just told kind of what it was all about by a few co-workers around here before— when I got the email originally this morning.'
- 'I've heard of it and I knew that it would protect the user. I didn't know about, like, callers and things like that as well.'
- 'Probably this Act went out in some sort of email saying the law has now changed, make sure you know this. Which probably didn't have a whole lot of impact.'

In response to these findings:

Reminder to HR supply and THN sites re GSDOA poster and wallet cards RCMP developed a training presentation for roll call and online for refresher

KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS: I. LACK OF AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING

IMPLICATIONS:

It is not sufficient to implement decriminalization, there needs to be substantial education and knowledge dissemination to ensure the public and police understand the specifics of the legislation i.e. when it applies and when it does not.

- Public needs accurate knowledge about decriminalization to avoid over estimation of protection, so can effectively advocate for self and others, and avoid mistrust
- Police need comprehensive, mandatory training, regular refreshers and knowledge assessment re decriminalization



KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS 2. LIMITATIONS OF THE GSDOA LEGISLATION

2. Limitations of the GSDOA exemption and it's design; GSDOA doesn't reflect the diverse realities of how drugs are used



KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS: 2. LIMITATIONS OF THE GSDOA LEGISLATION

We found how the GSDOA exemption is written, limits and compromises it's ability to reach it's objective and GSDOA doesn't reflect the diverse realities of how drugs are used

People shared:

- Drugs could still be confiscated under the GSDOA
- People could be arrested for drug trafficking at an overdose event if police determined their belongings (e.g. scales, baggies) and drug use (larger amount) suggested they were trafficking
- People with a history of involvement with the criminal legal system for low-level or drug-related offences e.g. warrants, court ordered conditions, were not legally protected by the GSDOA



KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS: 2. LIMITATIONS OF THE GSDOA LEGISLATION

The GSDOA Jurisdiction and date Federal legislation of implementation Implemented: May 2017 **Objective** Reduce overdose deaths by reducing concerns around police and encouraging people to call 911 When it applies For people who are experiencing or witnessing an overdose event (whether stay or leave the scene) • Simple drug possession (for personal use) (no threshold) • Violations of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole related to drugs related to simple possession All ages Drug trafficking (no threshold) (includes suspected intent When it doesn't apply to traffic, sharing, giving away, etc.) • Any outstanding arrest warrants • Offence other than simple drug possession • Violation of pre-trial release, probation order, conditional sentence, or parole for an offence other than simple possession

'If I have a half ball on me, I can probably get charged for something other than just personal use. But that's how I buy it usually.'

'I had three people OD in my room 'cause I told them, if you're going to do it, come to my room. And then the cops came and tried to investigate me for fucking giving it to them.'

'Crack shacks or houses where, you know, a bunch of people and friends sit around – there's often more than just possession amounts....'

'She just went boom with her head right on the table. And I was, like, uhm, what's going on? Her husband goes, oh, she did a rail of heroin half an hour ago. Uhm, I got to go. I got warrants. Bye.'

KEY TAKEAWAYS AND IMPLICATIONS: 2. LIMITATIONS OF THE GSDOA LEGISLATION

Reality of drug use:

- People use together to be safer and look out for each other
- Over COVID-19 people bought larger amounts for self or friends to reduce contact
- Person may leave the site of an overdose if concerned re arrest

Implications

Similar limitations have been identified with BC's model of decriminalization by advocates, people who use drugs and researchers.

- low cumulative simple drug possession threshold putting people at risk of being arrested for intent to drug traffic
- lack of legal protection for people with certain vulnerabilities (e.g., people with conditions and warrants, public consumption bylaws and people who are unhoused) contributing to continued criminalization for marginalized people who use drugs



3. Police discretion (the freedom to decide what should be done in a situation) shaped if and how the GSDOA was implemented and contributed to inconsistent applications of the legislation.

These inconsistencies can lead to public distrust of the police and the Act



If police were present, what did they do at the overdose scene (Select all that apply)?



Actions of police at last overdose witnessed (%)

Police reported that they were using their discretion before the GSDOA was implemented to not arrest for simple possession (similar to what we are hearing in relation to decriminalization):

'We didn't need the Act for it anyways. There's no way our Crown[prosecutors in Canada] would— accept a charge of possession for that individual for— when they've over-dosed.'

Police discretion after the GSDOA implementation led to inconsistencies in its application:

'The law tends to like to be grey 'cause that's how it works the best around interpretation.... So I think that's the way these sorts of laws should be developed...it works well. It's more up to the individual officer how they kind of see themselves applying those sorts of things.'

People who use drugs were at risk of interrogation and arrest, resulting in lack of trust in the legislation:

'You know what that Act means? It's something on paper to appease the public and appease parliament and whatnot. Because when it comes down to it, it don't mean jackshit when a cop pulls up on you. [...] Overdose, you got dope on you, you're going to fucking jail.'

Police used their discretion to determine what was sufficient evidence of drug trafficking:

'...possession for the purpose of trafficking..... there's lots of ways to work with that definition if you're the kind of cop that wants to do that.'

'[The police officer] reads him his fucking rights and tells him he's under arrest...he had seven points that were wrapped up in individual points. He wasn't selling or anything. That's how he bought it.'

No clear line between personal possession and trafficking made some vulnerable:

- (I) Low-level drug traffickers
- (2) Those needing to buy larger amounts for personal use
- (3) When using together to be safe

Police also used their discretion to determine whether to enact law enforcement activities at overdose events (e.g. seizing drugs, running names and checking identification, searching people):

'The guy had just gotten out of prison, so he was on probation and stuff. So right after [the overdose event], they locked him up.'

IMPLICATIONS:

Law on books \neq law on street:

The application of the law is not always applied or put into practice as it was intended.

Police discretion could shape how decriminalization is implemented.

There is a need to monitor police discretion and structural context, including other laws, in which drug policy is enacted (e.g., less charges for possession but more for trafficking etc.)



4. Decriminalization alone won't end the drug poisoning crisis, structural & systemic factors need to be addressed



The GSDOA was an important step that moved towards broader decriminalization in BC. However, decriminalization won't end the drug poisoning crisis and, alone, it will not be enough to eliminate stigma and discrimination for people who use drugs.

Structural and systemic factors need to be addressed



GSDOA didn't address systemic discrimination, we heard from people who use drugs that those with other marginalized identities (e.g. BIPOC, sex workers) continue to face discrimination:

"Cause being POC [Person of Color] myself, and then a lot of the people that I used with being POC.When it comes down to, like, okay, we have to contact the cops...that's like last resort. Even given the Good Samaritan Act...you get treated differently.We could go into the semantics of brutalization of minority communities by police. But it's kind of common knowledge at this point."

'The one girl was a working girl and the guy overdosed when they were doing it. And so she just left the guy to his own devices and took off. Didn't call because she didn't— couldn't— didn't want the attention, right.'

The GSDOA did not directly address issues of how some police perceive people who use drugs and police practices, such as coercion or attempting to force people into treatment:

'I made him go [to the hospital]. So he didn't want to go but I told him, honestly, I was going to arrest you for some reason that I could just to get him there.'

The GSDOA did not address the various ways people who used drugs are criminalized within the current system, beyond simple possession:

'The nature of drug use these days is that kind of criminality goes hand in hand with a lot of people who are chronic and habitual users, right. So when you have that added element, I mean, if you're partying with lawyers all weekend, you know, somebody overdoses 'cause they're on their weekend cocaine trip, I think that they would be more likely to call 9-1-1 than someone who has criminal involvement.'



IMPLICATIONS:

BCs model of decriminalization does not separate people from the toxic illicit drug supply, or make services and service providers, including policing, 'safe' for people who use drugs

e.g. trauma-informed, person-centered, culturally safe, eliminate racial profiling from police officers.

Decriminalization needs to be coupled with a regulated supply of substances to:

- \circ ...effectively reduce or eliminate stigma and recognize the rights of people who use drugs
- \circ ...end the drug poisoning crisis
- ...disrupt the link between drug use and criminal activities that exist because people are forced to acquire sufficient purchasing power and are often denied other employment opportunities



CONCLUSIONS

Lessons learned from the GSDOA and implications for decriminalization in BC

- 1. Implementation matters: drug laws and policies are only as good as knowledge/awareness of them among the audience they are intended for.
- 2. The details matter: The model features can undermine the aim of the policy, including threshold amounts that defines personal possession, ability to seize/confiscate etc.
- 3. Law on books ≠ law on street: The application of the law is not always applied or put into practice as it was intended. Need to monitor police discretion and structural context, including other laws, in which drug policy is enacted.
- 4. Decriminalization does not address the drug poisoning emergency and systemic issues: Under decriminalization, people continue to have to rely on an unpredictable, toxic supply of drugs. The effectiveness of decriminalization is linked to addressing other systemic issues e.g. racism and discrimination among service providers, coercive treatment, minimizing inequities in the criminal legal system



THANK YOU FOR LISTENING!

WE WELCOME HEARING YOUR INSIGHTS AND WISDOM



GSDOA EVALUATION 2019-2022 RESOURCES

Knowledge translation

- Educational materials (posters, wallet cards, self-quiz)
- Police and correctional staff training materials
- Policy brief Ο
- ~9 peer reviewed publications
- Presentations: at conferences, BCCDC grand rounds, to BC MMHA etc

More information: https://towardtheheart.com/research-projects





"School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

REFERENCES:

Wisdom from people who use drugs:

- Mehta A, Papamihali K, Moustaqium-Barette A et al. Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act Awareness among people who use drugs, in British Columbia, Canada. Journal of Community Safety and Well-Being (2021) 6(3) <u>https://journalcswb.ca/index.php/cswb/article/view/197/545</u>
- Ackermann E, Kievit B, Xavier J, et al. Awareness and knowledge of the Good Samaritan Overdose Act among people at risk of witnessing an overdose in British Columbia, Canada: A multi-methods cross-sectional study Subst Abuse Treat Prev Polic (2022) 17(1):42 <u>https://rdcu.be/cOlcK</u>
- Kievit B, Xavier J, Ferguson M, et al. Intention to seek emergency medical services during community overdose events in British Columbia, Canada: A cross-sectional survey. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Polic (2022) 17(1):56 <u>https://rdcu.be/cSsKl</u>
- Xavier JC, Greer A, Pauly B, et al.. "There are solutions and I think we're still working in the problem": The limitations of decriminalization under the GSDOA and lessons from an evaluation in British Columbia, Canada Int J Drug Pol (2022) <u>https://authors.elsevier.com/sd/article/S0955395922001335</u>
- Mamdani Z, Xavier J, Ackermann E, et al. "We are first responders": Overdose response experiences and perspectives among people with lived/living experience of substance use in BC. Drugs: Education, Prevention & Policy (2022) <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09687637.2022.2132134</u>
- McLeod KE, Xavier J, Okhowat A, et al. Knowledge of the Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act and possession of a take home naloxone kit among people recently released from
 provincial correctional facilities in British Columbia. International Journal of Prison Health (2022) 18(1) 43-54

Police attendance and perspectives

- Mehta A, Palis H, Xavier J. et al.. Change in police attendance at overdose events following implementation of a police non-notification policy in British Columbia. Advances in Public Health (2022) Article ID 8778430
- Xavier J, Greer A, Crabtree A, et al. Police officers perceptions of their role at overdose events: A qualitative study. Drugs: Education, Prevention & Policy (2022) <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09687637.2022.2070057</u>
- Xavier J, Greer A, Crabtree A, et al. Police Officers' Knowledge, Understanding and Implementation of the Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act in BC, Canada Int J Drug Pol (2021) 97 103410 <u>https://authors.elsevier.com/sd/article/S0955395921003157</u>

Policy brief:

 Maretzki M, Xavier J, Buxton JA. Policy brief: Synthesis and analysis of the literature and findings from an evaluation of Canada's Good Samaritan Drug Overdose Act in British Columbia https://towardtheheart.com/resource/gsdoa-policy-brief-report/open